

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,170	01/14/2004	Rhonda Schrader	35049-95382 3547		
23644	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER		
BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			PRINCE, FRED G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TAI ER NOMBER	
			1724		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/757,170	SCHRADER, RHONDA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Fred Prince	1724		

	LAMINING	Artonit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this A		in the final sale attention of	!-!!- !- A !-
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire li Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			ine issues ioi
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	<u>. </u>		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
S. Detect and Trademad Office		Fred Prince Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1724 2/2/06	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the Remarks received by the Office on January 27, 2006 Applicant asserts that the amended subject matter is in accordance with "the Examiner's suggestion." It is respectfully submitted that the examiner made no such suggestion. The arguments presented by applicant have been considered; however, as each of the amended independent claims relies on subject matter not previously claimed/considered requring further consideration and/or search, the arguments fail to patentably distinguish the instant invention over the prior art. Applicant's arguments with regard to the dependent claims fail to patentably distinguish applicant's invention over the prior art as the claims depend from claims requiring further consideration and/or search.